

CA Standard: 4 ALDE 4D 26

ACA Standard: 4 ALDF – 4D – 25

Effective: December 2015 Revised: April 2019, July 2019

Reviewed:

Policy Number: CM – 06.1

I. **PURPOSE:** To establish guidelines for a peer review audit.

- II. **POLICY:** An external peer review program for physicians, mental health professionals and dentists is implemented. Criteria are determined and chart reviews are done no less than annually.
- III. **RESONSIBILITY:** All Corrections Medicine staff and other medical providers are responsible for the content of this policy and procedure as well as adherence to the policy.

IV. **PROCEDURE:**

- 1. Patient charts shall be reviewed no less than annually using established criteria. Current criteria for medical review include the following: (Currently utilized peer review tool is included in Attachment 1.)
 - The reason for the visit (i.e. chief complaint) was recorded and reflected in the ICD-10 Codes in the assessment.
 - b. The past medical history pertinent to the reason for visit and assessment was recorded or updated.
 - c. The physical exam was consistent with the reason for the visit and assessment.
 - d. The assessment was consistent with symptoms, physical and current/past lab findings.
 - e. The plan, including treatment, labs, and testing was consistent with the assessment.
 - f. The provider counseled about lifestyle issues (i.e. substance abuse, obesity, exercise, diet)
 - g. The provider referred to clinical pharmacy, psychology, psychiatry, and/or dental for additional evaluation, treatment, and/or education, when indicated.
 - h. An outside facility specialty referral was accompanied by adequate clinical reasoning/need.
 - i. The patient was given a follow up appointment or recommendation for follow up, either in the Buzz Westfall Justice Center or at a community health center or practice.

2. After review of the charts has been completed, analysis of the compliance is done by the Lead Physician or the Saint Louis County Department of Public Health Chief Medical Officer, and if necessary corrective action is identified.

V. **REFERENCES:**

American Correctional Association; Performance-Based Standards for Adult Local Detention Facilities, fourth edition; 2004; Standard 4-ALDF-4D-25

National Commission on Correctional Health Care; Standards for Health Services in Jails; 2015

VI. **ATTACHMENT:**

Attachment 1: Peer Review Tool

ATTACHMENT 1. PEER REVIEW TOOL

Reviewer					
Provider					
Patient Visit Date				% Compliance	Analysis
Patient Initials				·	
Patient ID					
The reason for the visit (i.e. chief complaint) was recorded and reflected in the ICD-9 Codes in the assessment.					
The past medical history pertinent to the reason for visit and assessment was recorded or updated.					
The physical exam was consistent with the reason for visit and assessment.					

The assessment was consistent with					
symptoms, physical, and current / past					
lab findings.					
The plan, including					
treatment, labs, and					
testing, was					
consistent with the					
assessment					
The provider					
counseled about					
lifestyle issues (e.g. substandard abuse,					
obesity, exercise,					
and diet)					
The provider					
referred to clinical					
pharmacy,					
psychology,					
psychiatry, and / or dental for additional					
evaluation,					
treatment, and / or					
education, when					
indicated					

An outside facility specialty referral was accompanied by adequate clinical reasoning / need					
The patient was given a follow up appointment or recommendation for follow up, either in the Justice Center, or at a community health center or practice.					